International Education and Culture Studies
ISSN 2766-6778
Vol. 6, No. 1, 2026
Published by STSL Press

Original Paper

AI and the Future of Education

Bill Hood

College of Education and Technology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales, New Mexico

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into K-12 and higher education is not merely an optional upgrade but an inevitable technological leap poised to fundamentally transform the learning landscape. This paper argues that AI represents the most significant advance in educational access and personalization since the advent of the printing press, provided its deployment is governed by ethical guardrails and a commitment to universal accessibility. A successful transition mandates the use of appropriate, cost-effective technologies that are sustainable across diverse school budgets.

Crucially, this shift redefines the professional role of the human educator. The teacher moves away from the traditional model of a content presenter toward becoming a curator, prompt engineer, and human-in-the-loop facilitator. By offloading administrative and basic instructional tasks to AI, teachers gain the necessary bandwidth to focus intensely on their uniquely human competencies: cultivating interpersonal skills, fostering emotional intelligence, and delivering mentorship tailored to individual student needs and well-being. This model restores human connection as the core value of the classroom.

Achieving these objectives requires the strategic implementation of specific, scalable technologies. The paper identifies two essential areas: specialized, subject-focused teaching chatbots capable of delivering immediate, personalized tutorials and feedback; and administrative and learning automation tools that streamline operations like assignment generation, automated grading, attendance tracking, and administrative reporting. This dual approach ensures that AI enhances both pedagogical efficiency and human interaction, delivering on the promise of a more effective, equitable, and student-centric future for education.

Introduction

The historical significance of prior technological shifts in education such as widespread adoption of textbooks to the integration of computing and the internet, all position Artificial Intelligence (AI) as the next, non-negotiable step in pedagogical evolution. This technological inflection point must be viewed not as a mere supplement but as a fundamental restructuring of the learning environment. This urgency arises because the current education model is facing an existential crisis marked by profound challenges in scaling personalized instruction, managing overwhelming administrative burden on educators, and addressing persistent deficits in student engagement and achievement, issues which threaten the efficacy and equity of global education systems. AI offers a transformative pathway to address these deficits, but its success hinges on prioritizing ethical access, redefining the teacher's role, and strategically deploying scalable technologies. Accordingly, this paper will explore the imperative for AI integration by focusing on three primary objectives: first, to demonstrate that AI in K-12 and higher education is inevitable and inherently good, representing a giant leap forward in personalization and access, provided it is governed by ethical guardrails and a mandate for cost-effective implementation; second, to argue that the teacher's professional role must fundamentally evolve from a content presenter to a curator, prompt engineer, and human-in-the-loop facilitator, thereby restoring human interaction and mentorship as the core value of the classroom; and third, to identify and advocate for the specific scalable technologies required to accomplish these objectives, emphasizing subject-focused teaching chatbots for instruction and automation tools for administrative efficiency. The following sections will rigorously analyze these three pillars, proposing a practical and ethical framework for educational AI integration.

The Inevitability, Accessibility, and Ethics of AI

Across nearly every segment of the US economy companies are quickly adopting business strategies with AI at the core of the strategy. The business world has quickly adopted AI across nearly every industry as evidenced in a paper called "The state of AI in 2025: Agents, innovation, and transformation" (McKinsey, 2025) which determined that 88% of surveyed organizations regularly use AI, up from 78% the year before. It details adoption across industries to include technology, media, telecommunications, insurance and healthcare.

There is a significant cost to inaction, too. According to Uddin (2024) "*Rejection or integration of AI in academia: determining the best choice through the Opportunity Cost theoretical formula"* the author uses an opportunity cost framework to show that rejecting AI means forgoing considerable educational value. The author posits that the real value of bringing AI into mainstream K-12 environments is led by the enormous potential of personalized learning, the increased equity that will be derived by broad scale AI accessibility, and efficiency in administration. Uddin argues that when you compare what is gained by adopting AI (many substantial positive utilities) versus what is forfeited if you reject it, the opportunity cost of rejecting is quite large. Although risks are real, they can largely be mitigated and the net benefit strongly favors integration. The paper emphasizes the need for institutions to proactively develop policies, ethical frameworks, and educational training. Rather than avoiding AI because of fear, academia should thoughtfully embrace it.

Accessibility to these emerging technologies is an opportunity to level the playing field for all students and may have the most immediate impact with special needs students. In "*Artificial Intelligence: An Untapped Opportunity for Equity and Access in STEM Education. Education Sciences" (*Kohnke, et al, 2025). This conceptual review argues that AI holds significant promise to improve equity and access in STEM education, especially for students with disabilities. The authors note that AI-powered adaptive learning platforms and intelligent tutoring systems can personalize instruction in ways aligned with Universal Design for Learning (UDL) principles, enabling students with diverse needs to engage more fully in STEM. Benefits include: personalized learning paths that adapt to each student's pace and ability, tools to scaffold critical thinking, fosters the ability to inquire, and problem-solving tailored to individual needs. Thereby closing achievement gaps by providing tailored support for underrepresented groups in STEM.

AI introduces several ethical considerations that educators must carefully navigate to ensure responsible and equitable use in classrooms. Systems can perpetuate bias and unfairness, potentially affecting grading, assessment, or access to learning resources. Privacy and data security are critical, as AI tools often collect sensitive student information that must comply with laws like FERPA. Many AI systems function as "black boxes," making transparency and explainability essential so teachers and students can understand how decisions or recommendations are made. Over-reliance on AI can undermine teacher judgment and student agency, emphasizing the need for human oversight in instruction. Clear accountability structures are needed when AI tools produce errors or unintended outcomes. Educators must also consider equity and access, ensuring all students benefit from AI-enhanced learning and that no learners are disadvantaged by resource gaps. In "*Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Addressing Societal and Ethical Challenges in K-12 Settings"* (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022) the authors highlight how AI can amplify existing inequities among marginalized student populations.

Finally, attention to consent, ethical use, and inclusivity ensures AI supports student growth and engagement rather than reinforcing existing disparities. By thoughtfully integrating AI, teachers can enhance instruction while safeguarding fairness, privacy, and educational integrity.

The Transformation of the Educator

Today many schools are overwhelmed trying to administer an educational environment and in general have very stoic LAN/WAN environments with minimal intelligent tools. These schools are operating from a technical perspective reflective of the technological needs of 20 or more years ago. Many schools use hundreds of disconnected spreadsheets trying to administer students, grades, assignments, teacher duty, etc., creating a huge administrative burden not to mention the inherent inefficiency of such approaches. Teachers have evolved from teaching to administering in disorganized and inefficient

methods. The focus has shifted from a "student first" approach to "administration first" metaphor. In the article "*The Effect of Bureaucratic School Structure on Teachers' Job Satisfaction: The Mediator Role of the Organizational Justice. Research in Educational Administration & Leadership" (*Alanoğlu, et al, 2021), Alanoğlu reports that in schools with stronger bureaucratic organizational features (rules, hierarchy, specialized roles), teachers report lower satisfaction unless they perceive "organizational justice.". It supports the view that schools are not just teaching institutions, but formal bureaucracies in which administrative structures significantly affect the teacher experience.

In a 2023 article named "*Framing Curriculum Making: Bureaucracy and Couplings in School Administration. Journal of Curriculum Studies*." (Wermke, 2023). Wermke's article explores how curriculum decisions in schools are deeply framed by bureaucratic structures and administrative coupling. In effect, administrative logics shape curriculum making, not just pedagogical needs.

AI promises to relieve, if not totally eliminate the current bureaucratic environment in which teachers and schools find themselves. Teachers will be able to change from primarily administering an education to actually working with students hand in hand to curate a personal education for every student. One of the benefits of AI is allowing a student to pursue multiple avenues of study, broadening the educational opportunities and enabling a transition from being an administrator back to being an explorer/partner with students. The evidence implies that school reform and governance have prioritized bureaucratic effectiveness, accountability, and procedure, thus supporting the notion that schools have evolved into administrative institutions, not purely teaching ones.

Strategic Technological Implementation

Keys to increasing accessibility of AI frameworks for educational purposes are challenging and beyond the capability of most schools. These types of decisions need to be made at the highest possible level, even at the PED for the state of New Mexico. If schools are left to their own choices, they will almost certainly make poor decisions choosing a technology platform, spend a lot of money, and be no closer to a proper solution. In a NEPC brief, the writer (Williamson, 2024), posits that without effective public oversight, AI in schools will do more harm than good. He argues that hasty local adoption of AI in schools without policy guidance leads to harms (privacy, bias, commercialization, and pedagogical misalignment) and recommends pausing adoption until oversight structures exist.

Strategies that make sense include adopting web based solutions, continual bias auditing, using open source wherever possible and adopting strategies consistently delivering exposure to the underlying fabric of AI (classic technology - electronics, logic, math, networking, programming, etc.) while fostering imagination and creativity.

Summary

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping the educational landscape, offering unprecedented opportunities to personalize learning, enhance access, and relieve teachers of overwhelming administrative burdens. Positioned as the most consequential instructional advancement since the printing press, AI has the potential to transform both K–12 and higher education—provided it is implemented ethically, transparently, and equitably. Research shows strong incentives for integration: AI is now widely adopted across the private sector, and rejecting it in schools carries high opportunity costs in terms of lost personalization, diminished equity, and inefficiency. Scholars such as Uddin (2024) and Kohnke et al. (2025) argue that AI can directly advance inclusion, especially for students with disabilities, while also improving engagement and access through adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring, and alignment with Universal Design for Learning (UDL). At the same time, ethical concerns—including bias, privacy, transparency, and unequal access—must be proactively managed. As Akgun and Greenhow (2022) warn, AI can amplify existing inequities if deployed without oversight, making responsible, policy-guided implementation essential.

AI's greatest transformative power lies in redefining the role of educators and restructuring school systems that have drifted toward bureaucratic, administratively heavy models. Research by Alanoğlu et al. (2021) and Wermke (2023) shows that schools increasingly function as bureaucratic organizations where administrative demands diminish teacher agency and satisfaction. AI can reverse this trajectory by automating routine tasks and enabling teachers to act as curators, mentors, and human-in-the-loop

facilitators—roles in which interpersonal skills and meaningful student relationships take precedence over paperwork. However, implementation must be strategic and coordinated at high levels, such as state education agencies, to avoid costly missteps by individual districts. As Williamson (2024) cautions, without strong oversight, AI adoption risks reinforcing inequities and eroding trust. Effective strategies include the use of open-source platforms, web-based tools, ongoing bias auditing, and curricula that strengthen students' understanding of foundational technologies. With thoughtful governance, AI can help schools transition from administrative institutions back to vibrant learning environments centered on human connection, creativity, and opportunity.

References

Alanoğlu, M., & Demirtaş, Z. (2021). The Effect of Bureaucratic School Structure on Teachers' Job Satisfaction: The Mediator Role of the Organizational Justice. *Research in Educational Administration & Leadership, 6*(2).

Kohnke, S., & Zaugg, T. (2025). Artificial Intelligence: An Untapped Opportunity for Equity and Access in STEM Education. *Education Sciences, 15*(1), 68. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15010068

McKinsey, & Company. (2025, November 5). *The state of AI in 2025: Agents, innovation, and transformation*. McKinsey & Company.

Selin Akgun, & Christine Greenhow (2022). *Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education: Addressing Societal and Ethical Challenges in K-12 Settings*. Published in *AI & Ethics*.

Uddin, M. M. (2024). Rejection or integration of AI in academia: determining the best choice through the Opportunity Cost theoretical formula. *Discover Education, 3*, Article 249.

Wermke, W. (2023). Framing Curriculum Making: Bureaucracy and Couplings in School Administration. *Journal of Curriculum Studies.*

Williamson, B. (2024). *Without Effective Public Oversight, AI in Schools Will Do More Harm Than Good* (NEPC brief).