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#### Abstract

Adjustments of raw age data of Indian censuses are essential because of huge amount of errors. As a result, RG office of India publishes adjusted data based on elaborate techniques at different times (e.g., Chari, 1977, 1974; Jain, 1954 etc.). However, the present work using 5-year raw grouped data is a simple technique under some assumption at two major steps, firstly, cumulation and secondly, fitting polynomials with appropriate degrees. The adjusted data are found appropriate based on two very essential criteria, i) the goodness of fit with the raw data and ii) smoothness of the series as mentioned by Jaffe (1966). Moreover, the adjusted data depict a close correspondence with the Chari's (1977) (which was published under the auspices of the Office of the Registrar of India, GOI) adjusted data excepting two sectors, one very early age and very aged persons for whom different techniques are discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Adjustment of census age distribution either it is single year or grouped one is very essential because of many errors particularly in country like, India. The corrected age distribution is quite useful in many studies of population research, in particular, even in other branches of social sciences, physical sciences etc. Sometimes Market researchers require an age distribution of the consumer etc. As observed by experts, an age distribution that is smooth and as close to correct as possible is still useful, particularly as a basis for population projection (UN, 1983).

Now, the present paper tries to adjust age distribution particularly from age one to sixty nine, without paying attention to population aged zero year and advanced ages like 70 and above, where special type of error creeps in. The adjustments to these two sections of population may be made using data from sample registration system (SRS) and life tables. Here it may be mentioned that Jain (1954) while adjusting Indian 1951 census age distribution left unadjusted 0-4 population. Because of this, some attempt was ushered in separately to estimate $0-4$ population (very young) from models based on fertility and mortality schedules of the country in a recent past at the time of adjustment being made (Mukhopadhyay, 2006; Mukhopadhyay, 1986). The important and single most item affecting the remaining age distribution, particularly single year is the age and/or digit preference error. Digit preference error is the tendency of persons reporting their ages ending in some preferred digits. However, there are some other kind of errors such as shifting errors, recall lapse error (Som, 1973) etc. which may be adjusted through the present methodology. A mention may be made here that earlier authors as a result tried to justify by saying that very good adjusted smoothed data are constructed only above age 10 years where the major obstacles are like age and/or digit preference error(e.g., Chari, 1974).

## 2. Methodology and Results

Smoothed and adjusted age data at a prima facae stage are usually obtained simply by cumulation of age distribution that is, to the number of persons or proportion of persons under given ages, that is, less than type since the process of cumulation removes the effect of errors that do not result in a net transfer of people across each of the age boundaries used (UN, 1983). In the present technique cumulation of the population of 5 -year raw census age distribution of 1971 census of India (as reference data for
testing the present methodology), not in less than type, rather more than type is done. The raw 5-year grouped distribution by two sexes are given below .

Table 1. 5-year Raw Grouped Distribution of Ages by Two Sexes Separately, 1971 Census (India)

| Age group | Population |  | Person |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female |  |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ |
| $0-4$ | $39,355,600$ | $79,559,516$ | $40,203,916$ |
| $5-9$ | $39,796,175$ | $82,007,472$ | $42,211,297$ |
| $10-14$ | $32,274,530$ | $68,767,834$ | $36,493,304$ |
| $15-19$ | $23,246,454$ | $47,468,232$ | $25,221,778$ |
| $20-24$ | $21,527,935$ | $43,101,354$ | $21,573,419$ |
| $25-29$ | $20,481,079$ | $40,820,420$ | $20,339,371$ |
| $30-34$ | $17,867,076$ | $36,188,417$ | $18,321,341$ |
| $35-39$ | $15,661,954$ | $32,898,302$ | $17,236,348$ |
| $40-44$ | $13,229,867$ | $28,287,984$ | $15,058,117$ |
| $45-49$ | $10,417,273$ | $22,884,783$ | $12,467,510$ |
| $50-54$ | $9,415,037$ | $20,530,924$ | $11,115,887$ |
| $55-59$ | $5,951,965$ | $12,828,389$ | $6,876,424$ |
| $60-64$ | $6,891,311$ | $14,376,032$ | $7,484,721$ |
| $65-60$ | $3,356,877$ | $7,001,249$ | $3,644,372$ |
| $70+$ | $5,745,232$ | $5,579,218$ | $11,324,450$ |
| A.N.S. | 60,025 | 116,264 | 56,239 |
| Grand Total | $284,049,276$ | $264,110,376$ | $548,159,652$ |

Sources: Census of India, 1971, Series-1, Paper 3 of 1977, Age Tables (Chari, 1977)

The above distribution clearly points out some significant inconsistencies. The population aged 0-4 is under enumerated for both the sexes. However, it is known that 0 -year population is mostly under counted, the one-year is slightly less etc. Apart from this, there are some fluctuation of the data, e.g., the population aged 55-59 are always lower than the adjacent higher age group of 60-64 which may indicate a age preference and/or shifting error. Moreover, there are a wide gap between the figures of male and female populations in the advanced age group of 70 and above population. These are some kind of vital errors in the raw data usually encountered in the Indian census age distribution. The Table 2 below gives the more than type cumulated distribution with growth rates for each group based on the assumption of exponential pattern, assuming the population being closed with respect to migration. The exponential function is as usual

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{rt}}
$$

where $P_{t}$ and $P_{0}$ are populations at ending and initial points of each cumulated age data in Table 2, $r$ is the growth rate between each age interval and the value of each one varies from one interval to another and $t$ is the time interval, i.e., 5 years The negative growth rates in the equation above is because of usual pattern of age distribution to progress smoothly from one age to another unless it is depleted
drastically by large scale calamities like wars, epidemics, famines or by heavy age-selective migration. The subsequent steps of analysis are conducted using main frame computer, Sun with FORTRAN (90) language and some software packages (SPSS, versions: 12 and 7.5) and S.Plus(2000). The last two columns are obtained using the procedure as explained above.

Table 2. Raw Cumulated Census Population by 5-year Ages and Sexes, India, 1971 and Estimated Growth Rates for Each 5-year Period

| Age |  | Population |  | Exponential growth rates <br> $\mathbf{r}=\left(\mathbf{l o g} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}} / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) / \mathbf{t}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| $\mathbf{( \mathbf { 1 } )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| $0+$ | 283993037 | 264050351 | -0.030529225 | -0.032279397 |
| $5+$ | 243789121 | 224694751 | -0.038025612 | -0.038987076 |
| $10+$ | 201577824 | 184898576 | -0.039943589 | -0.038365951 |
| $15+$ | 165084520 | 152624046 | -0.033159211 | -0.031508577 |
| $20+$ | 139862742 | 130377592 | -0.033505602 | -0.036093431 |
| $25+$ | 118289323 | 108849657 | -0.037735371 | -0.041690236 |
| $30+$ | 97949952 | 88368578 | -0.041416638 | -0.045176487 |
| $35+$ | 79628611 | 70501502 | -0.048786437 | -0.050244480 |
| $40+$ | 62392263 | 54839548 | -0.055241867 | -0.055215750 |
| $45+$ | 47334146 | 41609681 | -0.061140310 | -0.057631624 |
| $50+$ | 34866636 | 31192408 | -0.076783263 | -0.071860665 |
| $55+$ | 23750749 | 21777371 | -0.068364166 | -0.063850955 |
| $60+$ | 16874325 | 15825406 | -0.117238023 | -0.114303586 |
| $65+$ | 9389604 | 8936095 | -0.098246565 | -0.094210013 |
| $70+$ | 5745232 | 5579218 |  |  |

After getting the different 5 -year period growth rates for different cumulated ages such as $0+$, $5+$, $10+\ldots 70+$ the estimated cumulated figures for each individual ages like $0+, 1+, 2+, \ldots 70+$ are obtained using the figures corresponding to growth rates as obtained for male and female populations in columns (4) and (5) in the above table and applying these to the initial ages of $0+, 5+, 10+$, and so on up to $70+$. The following table, as such, gives the cumulated figures for male and female populations separately for individual cumulated single ages.

Table 3. Estimated Cumulated Figures in Each Individual Ages, $0+$, $1+$, $2+$, .. 70+

| Age > | Estimated Population |  |  |  | Estimated Population <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age > | Male |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 0+ | 283993037 | 264050351 | 36+ | 75837055 | 67046710 |
| 1+ | 275453959 | 255663061 | 37+ | 72226036 | 63761213 |
| 2+ | 267171632 | 247542186 | 38+ | 68786957 | 60636715 |
| 3+ | 259138339 | 239679261 | 39+ | 65511632 | 57665328 |
| 4+ | 251346589 | 232066094 | 40+ | 62392263 | 54839548 |
| 5+ | 243789121 | 224694751 | 41+ | 59039069 | 51893620 |
| 6+ | 234692431 | 216103129 | 42+ | 55866088 | 49105945 |
| 7+ | 225936135 | 207840024 | 43+ | 52863635 | 46468021 |
| 8+ | 217506070 | 199892874 | 44+ | 50022545 | 43971804 |
| 9+ | 209390545 | 192249597 | 45+ | 47334146 | 41609681 |
| 10+ | 201577824 | 184898576 | 46+ | 44526817 | 39279440 |
| 11+ | 193684770 | 177939123 | 47+ | 41885986 | 37079698 |
| 12+ | 186100779 | 171241619 | 48+ | 39401781 | 65003147 |
| 13+ | 178813750 | 164796204 | 49+ | 37064910 | 33042888 |
| 14+ | 171812055 | 158593390 | 50+ | 34866636 | 31192408 |
| 15+ | 165084520 | 152624046 | 51+ | 32289662 | 29029544 |
| 16+ | 159700211 | 147890052 | 52+ | 29903151 | 27016652 |
| 17+ | 154491513 | 143302894 | 53+ | 27693025 | 25143332 |
| 18+ | 149452700 | 138858017 | 54+ | 25646249 | 23399908 |
| 19+ | 144578229 | 134551009 | 55+ | 23750749 | 21777371 |
| 20+ | 139862742 | 130377592 | 56+ | 22181307 | 20430328 |
| 21+ | 135254194 | 125755729 | 57+ | 20715573 | 19166606 |
| 22+ | 130797500 | 121297709 | 58+ | 19346694 | 17981052 |
| 23+ | 126487656 | 116997726 | 59+ | 18068270 | 16868831 |
| 24+ | 122319824 | 112850177 | 60+ | 16874325 | 15825406 |
| 25+ | 118289323 | 108849657 | 61+ | 15007577 | 14116058 |
| 26+ | 113908802 | 104404983 | 62+ | 13347341 | 12591342 |
| 27+ | 109690501 | 100141798 | 63+ | 11870771 | 11231315 |
| 28+ | 105628413 | 96052693 | 64+ | 10557549 | 10018188 |
| 29+ | 101716754 | 92130559 | 65+ | 9389604 | 8936095 |
| 30+ | 97949952 | 88368578 | 66+ | 8510975 | 8132665 |
| 31+ | 93976055 | 84465230 | 67+ | 7714564 | 7401470 |


| $32+$ | 90163382 | 80734297 | $68+$ | 6992677 | 6736016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $33+$ | 86505391 | 77168164 | $69+$ | 6338340 | 6130392 |
| $34+$ | 82995808 | 73759552 | $70+$ | 5745232 | 5579218 |
| $35+$ | 79628611 | 70501502 |  |  |  |

The adjusted single year data then are obtained by subtracting one step lower single year cumulated figure from one step higher figure and son for further ages and accordingly the entire single year age distribution is obtained and given below.

Table 4. Estimated Single Year Age Distribution, Census of India, 1971

| Age | Male | Estimated Population |  | Estimated Population |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Female | Age | Male | Female |  |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 6 )}$ |
| 0 | 8539078 | 8387290 | 36 | 3611019 | 3285497 |
| 1 | 8282328 | 8120875 | 37 | 3439079 | 3124498 |
| 2 | 8033292 | 7862925 | 38 | 3275325 | 2971387 |
| 3 | 7791750 | 7613167 | 39 | 3119369 | 2825780 |
| 4 | 7557468 | 7371343 | 40 | 3353194 | 2945928 |
| 5 | 9096190 | 8591622 | 41 | 3172981 | 2787675 |
| 6 | 8756796 | 8263105 | 42 | 3002453 | 2637924 |
| 7 | 8430065 | 7947150 | 43 | 2841090 | 2496217 |
| 8 | 8115525 | 7643277 | 44 | 2688399 | 2362123 |
| 9 | 7812721 | 7351021 | 45 | 2807329 | 2330241 |
| 10 | 7893054 | 6959453 | 46 | 2640831 | 2199742 |
| 11 | 7583991 | 6697504 | 47 | 2484205 | 2076551 |
| 12 | 7287029 | 6445415 | 48 | 2336871 | 1960259 |
| 13 | 7001695 | 6202814 | 49 | 2198274 | 1850480 |
| 14 | 6727535 | 5969344 | 50 | 2576974 | 2162864 |
| 15 | 5384309 | 4733994 | 51 | 2386511 | 2012892 |
| 16 | 5208698 | 5038813 | 4587158 | 52 | 2210126 |


| 24 | 4030501 | 4000520 | 60 | 1866748 | 1709348 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 | 4380521 | 4444674 | 61 | 1660236 | 1524716 |
| 26 | 4218301 | 4263185 | 62 | 1476570 | 1360027 |
| 27 | 4062088 | 4089105 | 63 | 1313222 | 1213127 |
| 28 | 3911659 | 3922134 | 64 | 1167945 | 1082095 |
| 29 | 3766802 | 3761981 | 65 | 878539 | 893439 |
| 30 | 3973897 | 3903348 | 66 | 796411 | 731195 |
| 31 | 3812673 | 3730933 | 67 | 721887 | 665454 |
| 32 | 3657991 | 3566133 | 68 | 654337 | 605624 |
| 33 | 3509583 | 3408612 | 69 | 593108 | 551174 |
| 34 | 3367197 | 3258050 | 70 | 5745232 | 5579218 |
| 35 | 3791556 | 3454792 |  |  |  |

After preliminary checking and scrutiny the distribution of single year ages in Table 4 showed some irregularities at some points particularly of multiples of 5 and 10 where intervals start. As a result, further cumulation is thought to be done again in order to smooth the series. The cumulation is done now in ten year interval so that every digit has been included in each age cycle. Here it may be mentioned that while adjusting single year age data, Zelnik (1961) used some 10-point moving average considering all the ten digits from 0 to 9 in ten year interval.

Table 5. Cumulated Distribution (Less than Type) for Ten Year Intervals, 1971

| Age up to | Population <br> Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ |
| 9 | 83601000 | 78823782 |
| 19 | 142005368 | 135034325 |
| 29 | 187903875 | 176860903 |
| 39 | 222923330 | 207855863 |
| 49 | 248690545 | 231571529 |
| 59 | 266832328 | 251560242 |
| 69 | 278975491 | 271374337 |

In order to get finally the adjusted single year age distribution, an attempted is made to fit some polynomial equation of suitable degree. As third degree polynomial is suitable for demographic data (Shryock, et al, 1971), the equation below is taken and fitted in the above data for male and female populations separately using SPSS package.

$$
\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bt}+\mathrm{ct}^{2}+\mathrm{dt}^{3}
$$

The estimated polynomial equations for male and female populations are, as such, obtained and given below,

$$
\mathrm{u}=1.9 \mathrm{E}+07+7857783 \mathrm{t}-77984 \mathrm{t}^{2}+271.135 \mathrm{t}^{3} \quad \text { for male },
$$

$$
\text { and } u^{\prime}=2.0 \mathrm{E}+07+7234353 \mathrm{t}-69236 \mathrm{t}^{2}+210.861 \mathrm{t}^{3} \quad \text { for femalue }
$$

and the coefficient of determination measured by $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ for both the cases have been found to be highly significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). Using these fitted polynomials separately for male and female populations, the cumulated (less than type) distributions were obtained. The final adjusted single year population for single year ages starting from 1 to 69 were obtained simply by subtracting one step lower figures from one step higher ones repeatedly up to the last figure is achieved. The following table gives the final adjusted single year age distribution for 1971 census of India.

Table 6. Finally Adjusted Census Single Year Age Data for Male and Female Population, India, 1971

| Age | Adjusted Population |  |  |  | Adjusted Population <br> Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Age | Male |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| 1 | 7780072 | 7165326 | 36 | 3346096 | 3115840 |
| 2 | 7625728 | 7028122 | 37 | 3248688 | 3022912 |
| 3 | 7473012 | 6892180 | 38 | 3152880 | 2931296 |
| 4 | 7321928 | 6757504 | 39 | 3058752 | 2840896 |
| 5 | 7172468 | 6624088 | 40 | 2966240 | 2751728 |
| 6 | 7024628 | 6491948 | 41 | 2875312 | 2663904 |
| 7 | 6878428 | 6361064 | 42 | 2786080 | 2577264 |
| 8 | 6733848 | 6231448 | 43 | 2698432 | 2491968 |
| 9 | 6590888 | 6103096 | 44 | 2612416 | 2407856 |
| 10 | 6449568 | 5976016 | 45 | 2528000 | 2325088 |
| 11 | 6309864 | 5850184 | 46 | 2445264 | 2243520 |
| 12 | 6171784 | 5725648 | 47 | 2364128 | 2163280 |
| 13 | 6035344 | 5602352 | 48 | 2284592 | 2084256 |
| 14 | 5900528 | 5480312 | 49 | 2206752 | 2006496 |
| 15 | 5767344 | 5359568 | 50 | 2130480 | 1930032 |
| 16 | 5635760 | 5240064 | 51 | 2055872 | 1854816 |
| 17 | 5505824 | 5121840 | 52 | 1982816 | 1780864 |
| 18 | 5377528 | 5004872 | 53 | 1911488 | 1708176 |
| 19 | 5250816 | 4889176 | 54 | 1841744 | 1636784 |
| 20 | 5125776 | 4774744 | 55 | 1773616 | 1566592 |
| 21 | 5002336 | 4661568 | 56 | 1707104 | 1497728 |
| 22 | 4880544 | 4549664 | 57 | 1642256 | 1430096 |
| 23 | 4760352 | 4439040 | 58 | 1579024 | 1363744 |
| 24 | 4641808 | 4329664 | 59 | 1517376 | 1298640 |
| 25 | 4524880 | 4221552 | 60 | 1457424 | 1234848 |
| 26 | 4409584 | 4114704 | 61 | 1399056 | 1172240 |


| 27 | 4295920 | 4009136 | 62 | 1342336 | 1110976 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 4183856 | 3904800 | 63 | 1287200 | 1050896 |
| 29 | 4073456 | 3801776 | 64 | 1233728 | 992192 |
| 30 | 3964656 | 3699849 | 65 | 1181880 | 934672 |
| 31 | 3857504 | 3599472 | 66 | 1131680 | 878448 |
| 32 | 3751952 | 3500208 | 67 | 1083040 | 823440 |
| 33 | 3648032 | 3402240 | 68 | 1036096 | 769744 |
| 34 | 3545776 | 3305504 | 69 | 990720 | 717312 |
| 35 | 3445120 | 3210080 |  |  |  |

The adjusted single year distributions of population according to two sexes from ages 1 to 69 give a monotonically decreasing series with male figures always being greater than females. It indicated a true age data in single year with consistent nature. However, the task is not over. In theory two things are yet to be tested, i.e., smoothness and fit as is suggested elsewhere (Jaffe, 1960). For the former, the figures should show a smooth series, and this was tested by forming difference table in which the values of third differences were quite small and are tending towards constant values at higher orders. The following showed the two difference tables.

Table 7. Difference Table for Adjusted Single Year Male Age Data, Census of India, 1971

| AGE (t) | Population (u) | $\boldsymbol{\Delta u}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{2} \mathbf{u}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{3} \mathbf{u}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{( \mathbf { 1 } )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| 1 | 7780072 | 154344 | 1628 | -4 |
| 2 | 7625728 | 152716 | 1632 | 8 |
| 3 | 7473012 | 151084 | 1624 | 4 |
| 4 | 7321928 | 149460 | 1620 | -20 |
| 5 | 7172468 | 147840 | 146200 | 1640 |
| 6 | 7024628 | 144580 | 1620 | 20 |
| 7 | 6878428 | 142960 | 141320 | 1620 |
| 8 | 6733848 | 139704 | 1616 | 0 |
| 9 | 6590888 | 138080 | 1624 | -20 |
| 10 | 6449568 | 136440 | 1640 | -8 |
| 11 | 6309864 | 134816 | 1624 | 16 |
| 12 | 6171784 | 133184 | 131584 | 1632 |
| 13 | 5035344 | 129936 | 128296 | 1648 |
| 14 | 5600528 |  | 1640 | 82 |
| 15 | 5505824 | 1584 | -88 |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 86 |


| 18 | 5377528 | 126712 | 1672 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 5250816 | 125040 | 1600 | -48 |
| 20 | 5125776 | 123440 | 1648 | 48 |
| 21 | 5002336 | 121792 | 1600 | -48 |
| 22 | 4880544 | 120192 | 1648 | 32 |
| 23 | 4760352 | 118544 | 1616 | -16 |
| 24 | 4641808 | 116928 | 1632 | 0 |
| 25 | 4524880 | 115296 | 1632 | 32 |
| 26 | 4409584 | 113664 | 1600 | -64 |
| 27 | 4295920 | 112064 | 1664 | 64 |
| 28 | 4183856 | 110400 | 1600 | -48 |
| 29 | 4073456 | 108800 | 1648 | 48 |
| 30 | 3964656 | 107152 | 1600 | -32 |
| 31 | 3857504 | 105552 | 1632 | -32 |
| 32 | 3751952 | 103920 | 1664 | 64 |
| 33 | 3648032 | 102256 | 1600 | -32 |
| 34 | 3545776 | 100656 | 1632 | 16 |
| 35 | 3445120 | 99024 | 1616 | 16 |
| 36 | 3346096 | 97408 | 1600 | -80 |
| 37 | 3248688 | 95808 | 1680 | 64 |
| 38 | 3152880 | 94128 | 1616 | 32 |
| 39 | 3058752 | 92512 | 1584 | -112 |
| 40 | 2966240 | 90928 | 1696 | 112 |
| 41 | 2875312 | 89232 | 1584 | -48 |
| 42 | 2786080 | 87648 | 1632 | 32 |
| 43 | 2698432 | 86016 | 1600 | -80 |
| 44 | 2612416 | 84416 | 1680 | 80 |
| 45 | 2528000 | 82736 | 1600 | 0 |
| 46 | 2445264 | 81136 | 1600 | -96 |
| 47 | 2364128 | 79536 | 1696 | 128 |
| 48 | 2284592 | 77840 | 1568 | -96 |
| 49 | 2206752 | 76272 | 1664 | 112 |
| 50 | 2130480 | 74608 | 1552 | -176 |
| 51 | 2055872 | 73056 | 1728 | 144 |
| 52 | 1982816 | 71328 | 1584 | -32 |
| 53 | 1911488 | 69744 | 1616 | 0 |


| 54 | 1841744 | 68128 | 1616 | -48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 1773616 | 66512 | 1664 | 48 |
| 56 | 1707104 | 64848 | 1616 | 32 |
| 57 | 1642256 | 63232 | 1584 | -112 |
| 58 | 1579024 | 61648 | 1696 | 112 |
| 59 | 1517376 | 59952 | 1584 | -64 |
| 60 | 1457424 | 58368 | 1648 | 64 |
| 61 | 1399056 | 56720 | 1584 | -80 |
| 62 | 1342336 | 55136 | 1664 | 32 |
| 63 | 1287200 | 53472 | 1632 | 0 |
| 64 | 1233728 | 51840 | 1632 | 64 |
| 65 | 1181888 | 1131680 | 48640 | 1568 |
| 66 | 1083040 | 46944 | 1696 | 128 |
| 67 | 1036096 | 45376 | 1568 |  |
| 68 | 990720 |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Difference Table for Adjusted Single Year Female Age Data, Census of India, 1971

| AGE $(\mathbf{t})$ | Population $(\mathbf{u})$ | $\mathbf{\Delta u}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}^{\mathbf{3} \mathbf{u}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{( \mathbf { 1 } )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 5 )}$ |
| 1 | 7165326 | 137204 | 1262 | -4 |
| 2 | 7028122 | 135942 | 1266 | 6 |
| 3 | 6892180 | 134676 | 1260 | -16 |
| 4 | 6757504 | 133416 | 1276 | 20 |
| 5 | 6624088 | 132140 | 1256 | -12 |
| 6 | 6491948 | 130884 | 1268 | 4 |
| 7 | 6361064 | 129616 | 1264 | -8 |
| 8 | 6231448 | 128352 | 1272 | 24 |
| 9 | 6103096 | 127080 | 1248 | -48 |
| 10 | 5976016 | 125832 | 1296 | 56 |
| 11 | 5850184 | 124536 | 1240 | -16 |
| 12 | 5725648 | 123296 | 1256 | -40 |
| 13 | 5602352 | 122040 | 1296 | 56 |
| 14 | 5480312 | 120744 | 1240 | -40 |
| 15 | 5359568 | 119504 | 1280 | 24 |
| 16 | 5240064 | 118224 | 1256 | -16 |
| 17 | 5121840 | 116968 | 1272 | 8 |
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| 18 | 5004872 | 115696 | 1264 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | 4889176 | 114432 | 1256 | -16 |
| 20 | 4774744 | 113176 | 1272 | -8 |
| 21 | 4661568 | 111904 | 1280 | 32 |
| 22 | 4549664 | 110624 | 1248 | -16 |
| 23 | 4439040 | 109376 | 1264 | 0 |
| 24 | 4329664 | 108112 | 1264 | -16 |
| 25 | 4221552 | 106848 | 1280 | 48 |
| 26 | 4114704 | 105568 | 1232 | -80 |
| 27 | 4009136 | 104336 | 1312 | 80 |
| 28 | 3904800 | 103024 | 1232 | -48 |
| 29 | 3801776 | 101792 | 1280 | 32 |
| 30 | 3699984 | 100512 | 1248 | -48 |
| 31 | 3599472 | 99264 | 1296 | 64 |
| 32 | 3500208 | 97968 | 1232 | -80 |
| 33 | 3402240 | 96736 | 1312 | 128 |
| 34 | 3305504 | 95424 | 1184 | -128 |
| 35 | 3210080 | 94240 | 1312 | 0 |
| 36 | 3115840 | 92928 | 1312 | 96 |
| 37 | 3022912 | 91616 | 1216 | -16 |
| 38 | 2931296 | 90400 | 1232 | -112 |
| 39 | 2840896 | 89168 | 1344 | 160 |
| 40 | 2751728 | 87824 | 1184 | -160 |
| 41 | 2663904 | 86640 | 1344 | 160 |
| 42 | 2577264 | 85296 | 1184 | -160 |
| 43 | 2491968 | 84112 | 1344 | 144 |
| 44 | 2407856 | 82768 | 1400 | -128 |
| 45 | 2325088 | 81568 | 1328 | 112 |
| 46 | 2243520 | 80240 | 1216 | -48 |
| 47 | 2163280 | 79024 | 1264 | -32 |
| 48 | 2084256 | 77760 | 1296 | 48 |
| 49 | 2006494 | 76467 | 1248 | -16 |
| 50 | 1930032 | 75216 | 1264 | 300 |
| 51 | 1854816 | 73952 | 0964 | -932 |
| 52 | 1780864 | 72988 | 1896 | 996 |
| 53 | 1707876 | 71092 | 900 | -428 |
| 54 | 1636784 | 70192 | 1328 | 96 |
| 55 | 1566592 | 68864 | 1232 | -48 |
| 56 | 1497728 | 67632 | 1280 | 32 |


| 57 | 1430096 | 66352 | 1248 | -64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58 | 1363744 | 65104 | 1312 | 128 |
| 59 | 1298640 | 63792 | 1184 | -160 |
| 60 | 1234848 | 62608 | 1344 | 160 |
| 61 | 1162240 | 61264 | 1184 | -192 |
| 62 | 1110976 | 60080 | 1376 | 192 |
| 63 | 1050896 | 58704 | 1184 | -112 |
| 64 | 992192 | 57520 | 1296 | 80 |
| 65 | 934672 | 56224 | 1216 | -96 |
| 66 | 878448 | 55008 | 1312 | 48 |
| 67 | 823440 | 53696 | 1264 |  |
| 68 | 769744 | 52432 |  |  |
| 69 | 717312 |  |  |  |

The fitness of the estimated data separately for male and female populations with the observed (raw) series have been done by finding multiple correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) between the raw and the adjusted figures. In the present case, the $\rho$ values for the two cases were found to be significant ( $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). The following tables have been presented here for the significance tests of the $\rho$ values for different data set. The Figures 1 and 2 show the best fitted curves for male and female population single year age distributions separately.

Table 9. Multiple Correlation Coefficients between Different Categories, Single Year Age Data, Census of India, 1971

| Male |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Categories | Raw | Adjusted | Chari (1977) |
| Raw | 1.000 | $.716^{* *}$ | $.720^{* *}$ |
| Adjusted | $.716^{* *}$ | 1.000 | $.993^{* *}$ |
| Chari (1977) | $.720^{* *}$ | $.993^{* *}$ | 1.000 |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Table 10. Multiple Correlation Coefficients between Different Categories Single Year Age Data, Census of India, 1971

| Female |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Categories | Raw | Adjusted | Chari (1977) |
| Raw | 1.000 | $.695^{* *}$ | $.700^{* *}$ |
| Adjusted | $.695^{* *}$ | 1.000 | $.992^{* *}$ |
| Chari (1977) | $.700^{* *}$ | $.992^{* *}$ | 1.000 |

[^0]

Figure 1. Observed and Fitted Values for Male Population


Figure 2. Observed and Fitted Values for Female Population

## 3. Concluding Remarks

The methodology adopted in the paper based on a few assumptions although encounters a number of steps but they are simple in the sense that except the raw age-group data there are no other inputs necessary. Contrary Chari $(1977,1974)$ used single year raw data experimenting with different type of age groupings like, $0-4,1-5,2-6,3-7$ and $4-8$. Then choosing a suitable one and graduating the series by some graduating formula. Having obtained the adjusted grouped data, single year data have been arrived at by means of Karup-King's third order osculatory interpolation formula (Bogue et al., 1993).

From the point of view of actuaries there is no absolute graduation or adjustment technique. Rather different graduator adopts different techniques. The only criterion is the optimum course between the smoothness and the closeness (fitness) with the raw data. In this respect, the two criteria are quite vividly shown in the last section of the paper. In the same section there is also a close matching between the two adjusted data, one from the present technique and another from Chari (1977) which simply shows the validity of the present technique (as the method adopted by Chari was published under the Office of the Registrar General, Government of India).

The present methodology, henceforth may be applied in countries, particularly where data deficiencies are well known both in terms of quality as well as delay in publishing the census report. From the two graphs, one for males and another for females it is obviously clear that in the very young ages under one year under-counting is very common which is why there is low pick in the diagram in addition to other errors. Hence for adjustment of entire single year data graduator applies different method to estimate the same only except for other ages. Similarly in the higher ages people are used to exaggerate their high age to show more higher to pose themselves more senior persons in the family they belong. The corresponding graphs for both the male and female are clear in this respect.

Finally, in the event of completing the present adjusted age data of India, the two more data points are to be estimated, i) the 0 year and ii) the $70+$ year populations which could not be estimated from the present technique. However, they may be obtained in a very simple way. For the former one, survival factor may be used for adjusting birth data from sample registration system of India to get the 0 year population and for the latter UN's method (1955) may be used. After all these for the entire adjusted data prorating may be done These things have not been done here since the main purpose of the paper was to apply some new methodology based on some assumption for the adjustment of the overall census single year age data from 5-year grouped data which are generally obtained within a short time after the completion of the national census.
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